

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,745	10/15/2001	Anthony John Peach	GH-01383	8923
23117	7590 12/01/2005		EXAMINER SINGH, SUNIL	
NIXON & V	ANDERHYE, PC			
901 NORTH	GLEBE ROAD, 11TH	FLOOR		
	N, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-		2672	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			o			
.60	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/889,745	PEACH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sunil Singh	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. by be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			S			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending	g in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>16-18,20,24,32,33,37-40,45,49-53,62</u>	,67,68,76,77,81,82,87 and	<u>88</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>26,46,47 and 54</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action of form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Ap	plication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		eceived in this National Stage				
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/27/04. J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)	<u>-</u>				

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 16-18,20,24,26,32,33,37-40,45-47,49-54,62,67,68,76,77,81,82,87 and 88.

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 16-18,20,24,32-33,37-40,45,49,50-53,62,67,68,81-82,87,88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dubois '905 in view of German document (DE 4332113).

Dubois discloses a rock boring device comprising a boom (7) having a pivot (see Fig. 2, (9)) about the proximal end, a disc cutter (19) pivot about a wrist axis ((11), reaction mass (see Fig. 2). Dubois discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Dubois is silent about his disc cutter being structured to oscillate and nutate. German document teaches a disc cutter structured to oscillate and nutate (see disclosure). It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Dubois to include an oscillating and nutating cutter as taught by German document in order to have a cutter that is applicable to different types of soil.

With regards to claims 37-39, it would have been considered obvious to cutting tips at the cutting edge in order to enhance the excavation process.

Claims 76,77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dubois in view of German document as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Smith et al. (US 6062650).

Art Unit: 3673

Dubois (once modified) discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, the (once modified) Dubois is silent about the controlled system called for in claims 76,77. Smith et al. teach the control system called for in claims 76,77. It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the (once modified) Dubois to include the control system as taught by Smith et al. in order to form an automated system.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 26,46,47,54 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16 and 50 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 10:30 AM - 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (571) 272-7049. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sunil Singh
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3673

11/25/05